

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The proposal that affordable housing can be "off-site" potentially conflicts with the proposal that there should be "mixed communities". This could create premium housing in high amenity green sites and put those requiring affordable housing in areas with less green space.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Affordable housing should be available within all development areas, including those with premium housing.
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to	It is unclear how the density calculations interact with the provision of affordable housing, which is more likely to be at a higher density than more expensive properties.

comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Provide greater detail on how affordable housing will be allocated in the various designated centres and transport stops.
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Possibly due to the lack of clarity it is unclear why certain areas do not seem included within the Green Infrastructure Network. Notable here is the apparent omission of the Irwell Valley. This is particularly unfortunate given the lack of green infrastructure planning for Manchester and central/east Salford.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Include more central areas such as the Irwell Valley
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Although greater access is mentioned there is here, as elsewhere in the document, a completely inadequate mention of maintaining the existing rights of way that can allow such access.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	There should be some focus on maintaining access to green spaces via existing rights of way, and some determination to see these maintained.
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Although greater access is mentioned there is here, as elsewhere in the document, a completely inadequate mention of maintaining the existing rights of way that can allow such access.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A determination to see public rights of way should feature more strongly.
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The affordable housing is stated to have "some of this directed towards off-site provision". This potentially conflicts with the proposal that there should be "mixed communities". It could create premium housing in high amenity green sites and put those requiring affordable housing in areas with less green space.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	Affordable housing should be available within all development areas, including those with premium housing.

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Hopkins
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1287406
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The affordable housing is stated to have "some of this directed towards off-site provision". This potentially conflicts with the proposal that there should be "mixed communities". It could create premium housing in high amenity green sites and put those requiring affordable housing in areas with less green space.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Affordable housing should be available within all development areas, including those with premium housing.